

Independent Limited Assurance Report to the Management and Directors of AGL Energy Limited

Our Conclusion:

Ernst & Young ('EY', 'we') were engaged by AGL Energy Limited to undertake a limited assurance engagement as defined by Australian Auditing Standards, hereafter referred to as a 'review', over the Subject Matter defined below for the year ended 30 June 2025. Based on the procedures we have performed and the evidence we have obtained, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe the Subject Matter has not been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Criteria defined below.

What our review covered

The Subject Matter for this review was AGL Energy Limited's (AGL) green debt update report.

Criteria applied by AGL Energy Limited

In preparing the subject matter, AGL applied the following Criteria:

- Climate Bonds Standard v4.2 and the Climate Bond Sector Eligibility Criteria for:
 - Electrical Grids and Storage
- AGL's Green Finance Framework.

Key responsibilities

AGL Energy Limited's responsibility

AGL's management is responsible for selecting the Criteria, and for presenting the Subject Matter in accordance with that Criteria, in all material respects. This responsibility includes establishing and maintaining internal controls, maintaining adequate records and making estimates that are relevant to the preparation of the subject matter, such that it is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

EY's responsibility and independence

Our responsibility is to express a conclusion on the Subject Matter based on our review.

We have complied with the independence and relevant ethical requirements, which are founded on fundamental principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behaviour.

The firm applies Auditing Standard ASQM 1 Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits or Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, or Other Assurance or Related Services Engagements, which requires the firm to design, implement and

operate a system of quality management including policies or procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

Our approach to conducting the review

We conducted this review in accordance with the Australian Auditing and Assurance Standards Board's Australian Standard on Assurance Engagements Other Than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information ('ASAE3000') and the terms of reference for this engagement as agreed with AGL on 3 July 2025. That standard requires that we plan and perform our engagement to express a conclusion on whether anything has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the Subject Matter is not prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Criteria, and to issue a report.

Summary of review procedures performed

A review consists of making enquiries, primarily of persons responsible for preparing the subject matter and related information and applying analytical and other review procedures.

The nature, timing, and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgement, including an assessment of the risk of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. The procedures we performed included, but were not limited to:

- Conducting interviews with personnel to understand the business and reporting process
- Conducting interviews with key personnel to understand the process for collecting, collating and reporting the Subject Matter during the reporting period
- Assessing that the calculation criteria have been correctly applied in accordance with the methodologies outlined in the Criteria
- Identifying and testing assumptions supporting calculations



Testing, on a sample basis, underlying source information to assess the accuracy of the data.

We believe that the evidence obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our review conclusion.

Inherent limitations

Procedures performed in a review engagement vary in nature and timing from, and are less in extent than for, a reasonable assurance engagement. Consequently, the level of assurance obtained in a review engagement is substantially lower than the assurance that would have been obtained had a reasonable assurance engagement been performed. Our procedures were designed to obtain a limited level of assurance on which to base our conclusion and do not provide all the evidence that would be required to provide a reasonable level of assurance.

While we considered the effectiveness of management's internal controls when determining the nature and extent of our procedures, our assurance engagement was not designed to provide assurance on internal controls. Our procedures did not include testing controls or performing procedures relating to assessing aggregation or calculation of data within IT systems.

Other matters

We have not performed assurance procedures in respect of any information relating to prior reporting periods, including those presented in the Subject Matter. Our report does not extend to any disclosures or assertions made by AGL relating to future performance plans and/or strategies disclosed in the CBI report and supporting disclosures online.

Use of our Assurance Report

We disclaim any assumption of responsibility for any reliance on this assurance report to any persons other than management and the Directors of AGL, or for any purpose other than that for which it was prepared.

You may not disclose this assurance report externally without our prior written consent.

Ernst & Young Sydney, Australia 24 September 2025

tunt & Young